

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2014) KLR VOL 7 PART 352 pp. 2853 - 3166**

**JULY 2014**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869 - May
2. Apena v. Aileru p. 2905 - July
3. Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935 - July
4. Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957 - May
5. Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989 - May
6. Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037 - July
7. Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091 - May
8. Saliu v. State p. 3117 - May
9. University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139 - July

#### **EDITOR'S NOTE:**

Observe that from this July to December, 2014, we are publishing SC judgments that were not ready at press time in keeping with our principle of publishing all SC. Any omitted 2013 will also be published.

**2854    INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS - Alternative relief - Award - It is construed distinctively and not conjunctively - Hence appellant who sought same against 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent - Ought to have brought a separate action (H12) *Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd.* p. 2957

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Appeals - Concurrent findings - That respondent's cause of action accrued in 1972 - Is supported by evidence in trial court - Hence it is not perverse but a correct finding (H9) *Adejumo v. Olawaiye* p. 2869

ACTIONS - Commencement - Limitation - Party claiming legal right must act quickly - To avoid a situation where the other party would have acted - In the belief that no one was offended by his act (H6) *Adejumo v. Olawaiye* p. 2869

ACTIONS - Proof - Burden of - Lies on party who alleges the existence of any fact - And/or on a person who would fail - If no evidence at all were given on either side (H6) *Apena v. Aileru* p. 2905

ACTIONS - Success of - Basis - Claim of plaintiff as well as defence of defendant - Are won and lost on pleadings - And on evidence led in support thereof (H1) *Apena v. Aileru* p. 2905

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - L.G. council - Continuous existence - It is duty bound on Governor by 1999 Constitution s. 7(1) - To ensure that L.G. system continues unhindered (H2) *Eze v. Governor of Abia State* p. 2935

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - L.G. council - Removal of officials - Basis - Elected officials for fixed term can only be removed - If found to be in breach of official rules - Otherwise they shall be paid their entitlement (H5) *Eze v. Governor of Abia State* p. 2935

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - L.G. council - Removal of officials - CA rightly found that 1<sup>st</sup> respondent - Wrongfully removed elected chairmen and councilors - And replaced them with unelected officials (H1) *Eze v. Governor of Abia State* p. 2935

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University - Powers of visitor - Delegation of - The visitor does not need to act personally - But can do so through his appointees - Or those made on his behalf (H4) University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University - Powers of visitor - Finality of - Resolution of the committee on behalf the visitor - That respondent be placed back in good standing is final - And should be complied with (H5) University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - University - Powers of visitor - Include appointing others to act on his behalf - Dealing with any affairs of the institution - And overruling decision of its council and senate (H3) University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139

AFFIDAVITS - Averments - Not based on evidence - In absence of evidence on salaries and allowances - No probative value can be ascribed to the affidavit - And no specific sum can be ordered by SC (H3) Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935

APPEALS - Brief - Drafting - Badly drafted brief should not be struck out - But court should strive to understand the brief - Bearing in mind its duty to do substantial justice to parties (H2) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Correctness of - The findings of courts below were thorough - As the same were based on evaluation of what was before them (H2) University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Elections - Votes - Appellants have no case as regards discrepancy in the votes - Hence SC cannot disagree with findings of the lower courts on the issue (H16) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Court - Issue - Suo motu raising - Court is not permitted to so raise issue without hearing from parties - As such runs counter to the impartial status expected of a Judge (H1) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Extension of time - Application - Grant - Reasons - Supporting affidavit must show good and substantial reasons for failure to appeal within time - And grounds must show good cause why appeal should be heard (H6) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

APPEALS - Fair hearing - Leave - Salu v. Egeibon - Ratio in the case is not to the effect that - Where appellant is out of time to appeal on fair hearing matters - He should not obtain leave (H6) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Filing of - Time limit - Appellants had 3 months to appeal from CA judgment delivered on 7/8/94 - But since unable to appeal within time - SC Rules O. 2 r. 31 provides for enlargement of time (H3) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

APPEALS - Grounds - Mixed law & facts - Leave - In other subject matter not covered by 1999 Constitution s. 241(1) - Aggrieved party may have to seek for leave of the HC or CA - To appeal (H4) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Grounds - Particulars - Incorporation of - There is compliance with rules of Supreme Court - If particulars of a ground are incorporated in the ground - And not separately set out (H3) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

APPEALS - Interlocutory & main appeals - Merger of - Procedure - Party who desires to merge the two appeals - Has to obtain leave to appeal out of time - Against the interlocutory ruling (H5) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Interlocutory decision - Leave - Appellants' failure to obtain leave to appeal the decision - Did not only render the appeal incompetent - But also robbed the court of jurisdiction (H5) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Issue - Fair hearing - Breach - Allegation - CA did not raise the issue suo motu in view of evidence in the record - Hence

appellants' right to fair hearing was never breached (H2) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Issue - Formulation - Issue must arise from competent ground(s) - And any issue arising from combination of competent and incompetent ground(s) - Is liable to be struck out (H4) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Issues - Formulation - Issues are distilled from grounds which challenge judgment appealed - And a brief without issues would be struck out - For being incompetent (H1) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

APPEALS - Issues - Not raised at trial - Fate - Where an issue is not raised and pronounced upon by trial court - The same cannot be validly raised as a ground of appeal before appellate court (H9) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

APPEALS - Issues - Proliferation of - It is not the number of issues formulated - That determines quality of a brief or success of appeal - As the shorter and precise a brief the better (H14) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Extension of time - Application - Even if no good reasons for delay are before court - The application will be granted if a good ground for appeal is on jurisdiction (H7) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Mistake in judgment date - Is a mere clerical error and not a fundamental one - That robs CA the jurisdiction to entertain appellant's appeal (H1) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Failure to react - Odunze v. Nwosu - Does not imply sustaining the objection without more - As court is not precluded from considering merit and demerit therein (H2) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

**2858 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 7 KLR**

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Filing - It should only be filed against hearing of appeal - And not against one or more grounds of appeal - Which are not capable of disturbing the hearing of appeal (H4) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Notice of - Respondent sufficiently gave notice of the objection in his brief - Which was served on appellants - Hence appellants' complaint is untenable (H15) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

APPEALS - Right of appeal - By 1999 Constitution ss. 241(1) & 242(2) - Party aggrieved with decision of court - Has a right of appeal - Conferred on him by the Constitution (H3) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

APPEALS - Right to appeal - Extension of time - Application - Grant of the application is to ensure that justice is done to the parties - As a party should not be denied right to appeal - If he satisfies conditions for appeal (H11) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

CONTRACTS - Privity of contract - A contract cannot confer or impose obligations arising under it on any person - Except the parties to it - As only such parties can sue or be sued on the contract (H10) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

CONTRACTS - Terms - Binding nature - Court must respect the sanctity of contract made by parties - And will not allow a term on which there is no agreement to be read into the contract (H1) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

CONTRACTS - Written contract - Oral evidence - Evidence Act s. 128(1)(b) makes admissible oral evidence relating to - Existence of separate oral agreement - As to matter on which a document is silent (H3) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

CONTRACTS - Written contract - Variation - Appellant cannot now be allowed - To justify his additional award of damages - As extrinsic evidence will not be given to alter the effect of written contract (H2)

Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

COURTS - Discretion - Correctness of - Fair hearing - Trial Judge's discretion allowing call for additional witnesses is right - As appellant has not shown how the same has occasioned a miscarriage of justice (H7) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

COURTS - FHC - Jurisdiction - Although Constitution 1999 s. 251 confers exclusive jurisdiction - In respect of matters listed therein - It does not create exhaustive list (H10) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

COURTS - FHC - Jurisdiction - Basis - It is not in all cases in which FG or its agency is a party - That FHC assumes jurisdiction - As reliefs must be directed to FG or its agency - Before jurisdiction can be assumed (H11) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

COURTS - Judgment - Mistake - Weight - It is not every error of lower court that results in setting aside its decision on appeal - As such error must be substantial - And leads to miscarriage of justice (H4) Salu v. State p. 3117

COURTS - Justice - Upholding of - Judges must always decide according to justice - And lean towards equity instead of strict law - Thus order for payment of appellants' entitlement was right (H4) Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935

COURTS - Leave - Importance of - Where rules provide for leave before a process is filed - And the same is filed without leave - Such a process would be thrown out (H5) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Retraction - Where accused retracts his confession - Trial court should look for other external evidence which corroborates - And shows that the confession is true (H2) Salu v. State p. 3117

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Validity - Conviction can be based on confession alone - And the withdrawal of objection to admissibility of exhibit E - Signified that it was made voluntarily (H1)

Saliu v. State p. 3117

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Sentence - Failure of trial Judge to pass separate sentences - After conviction for conspiracy and armed robbery - Did not result in miscarriage of justice (H3) Saliu v. State p. 3117

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Sentence - Requirement - CPC s. 269 - Failure to specify punishment meted to accused as provided in the section - Is remediable and not fatal to prosecution's case (H5) Saliu v. State p. 3117

ELECTIONS - Action - Commencement - Originating summons - It is one of the ways of commencing action in the courts - Especially where the issue is that of construction of documents (H12) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ELECTIONS - Actions - Expeditious hearing - Counsel in election matters which are sui generis - Should allow the matters to be decided speedily (H11) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ELECTIONS - Appeals - Issue - Finding - Correctness of - As appellants' grounds 2 & 5 did not challenge the finding of trial court - The observation of CA on the issue is unassailable (H14) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ELECTIONS - Gubernatorial - Qualification - By 1999 Constitution s. 177 - A person shall be qualified for election into office of Governor - If he is educated up to at least school certificate or equivalent (H22) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Gubernatorial - Screening - Requirement - 1999 Constitution s. 177 - Certificate presentation is not a necessity - As candidate is to fill in his qualification - With verifying affidavit that the same is true (H21) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Nomination - Political party - Power of - Sponsorship of candidate for election is within the domestic affairs of a party -



Being a pre-primary duty of the party (H7) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Crime - Allegation of - Proof - By Evidence Act s. 135(1) - Appellant who alleged falsification of result - Has the burden to establish same beyond reasonable doubt (H18) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Falsification of result - Proof - To establish falsity - There must be two sets of results - One considered genuine and the other falsified - For purpose of comparison (H19) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Pre election - FHC - Jurisdiction - PDP v. Sylva - For the court to assume jurisdiction - Aggrieved party at primary election - Must bring his claim within 1999 Constitution s. 251(1) (H12) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Jurisdiction - Dissatisfied party who participated at primary election is empowered by Electoral Act s. 87(9) - To ventilate his complaint before FHC or State/FCT HC (H8) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Misconduct - Proof - Appellant's failure to call disenfranchised voter from each of the ward congresses - And not tendering of membership register of 1<sup>st</sup> respondent - Is fatal to his case (H17) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Pre election matters - Appellant's claim against 1<sup>st</sup> respondent is not justiciable - Because nomination of candidate for election - Is within discretion of political party (H13) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Pre election matters - Jurisdiction - Is not conferred on FHC to determine appellant's case - Since his principal reliefs were against 1<sup>st</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> respondents - Who are not agents of FG (H10) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Qualification - Forged certificate - Proof - By Penal

**2862 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2014) 7 KLR**

Code ss. 362 & 363 - Appellant must prove beyond reasonable doubt - That 4<sup>th</sup> respondent presented such certificate - To 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent (H20) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

ELECTIONS - Winner - Declaration of - Condition - By Electoral Act s. 141 - A person must participate in all the stages of an election - Before he can be declared the winner thereof (H18) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

EVIDENCE - Hearsay - Admissibility - Hearsay is not admissible and if admitted - It should not be acted upon by trial court - But if it did - Appellate court can overturn the judgment - On ground of inadequate evidence (H16) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

EVIDENCE - Hearsay - Weight - Court does not ascribe probative value to hearsay deposition - And no value is placed on admission - Which is not based on personal knowledge of the maker (H15) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Appellant who was afforded opportunity for his defence - And actually utilized same - Cannot later turn around to complain of denial of fair hearing (H9) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

FAIR HEARING - Concept of - Right to fair hearing is opportunity of being heard - As it lies in procedure followed in determination of case - And not in correctness of decision arrived at (H8) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

JUDGMENTS - Consequential order - Meaning of - It is an order that gives effect to judgment - As it flows from the judgment prayed for - And made consequent upon the relief claimed by plaintiff (H6) Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935

JUDGMENTS - Effect - Judgments take effect upon delivery - And court has power to enforce judgments at once - But can only be interrupted by a stay of execution - Provided there is an appeal (H1)

Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

JURISDICTION - Fundamental nature - Court cannot entertain matter in absence of jurisdiction - And such cannot be assumed where it is not endowed - Otherwise judgment therefrom is a nullity (H6) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

JURISDICTION - Issue - Raising of - Objection on jurisdiction must be considered by court - Regardless of the manner it was raised - And such issue can be raised for the first time in Supreme Court (H3) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

LAND LAW - Judgment - Res judicata - CA rightly held that the plea was not raised by judgments referred to by appellants - As nothing therein shows that appellants are members of Ojuwoye community (H7) Apena v. Aileru p. 2905

LAND LAW - Recovery of land - Limitation - By Limitation Law of Oyo State s. 6(2) - Suits to recover land cannot be brought after twelve years - From the date on which right of action accrued (H7) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

LAND LAW - Title - Root of - Proof - The parties are not of same Ojuwoye community - And appellants failed to plead source of title of the community - That granted the disputed land to their grandfather (H5) Apena v. Aileru p. 2905

LAND LAW - Trespass - Alieru's case - Principle - Where an owner is aware of a stranger on his land but remains passive - Court will not allow him to profit from mistake of the stranger that could have been prevented (H10) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

LAND LAW - Trespass - Defence of acquiescence - Principle in Aileru's case is not applicable here - Since respondent from facts of the case - Had not acquiesced in appellant's adverse possession (H11) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Appeals - Filing - Failure - Decision of applicants' former counsel not to appeal - But to comply with CA order for retrial - Is within his professional competence - And cannot be taken as mistake of counsel (H9) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Case - Conduct of - When counsel is briefed and he accepts - He has authority to decide how to conduct the case - And the client is bound by his conduct of the case (H8) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

MASTER & SERVANT - Appeals - Concurrent findings - Damages - Appellant not having shown perversity in the findings - The assessment of damages was proper and adequate (H8) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

MASTER & SERVANT - Contract - Legal personality - Appellant's claim is inconceivable - As 1<sup>st</sup> respondent is not expected to bear legal burden - Ascribable only to a different legal personality (H11) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Damages - In absence of credible evidence supporting appellant's assertion - Any claim for additional damages must fail (H5) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Notice - Absence of - Where period of notice is not stipulated - Court is to imply the period that would be adequate - Having regard to the nature of employment (H7) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Unlawfulness - Element - This arises where in carrying out the decision to terminate - The employer neglected to adhere to the letter of employment (H6) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

MASTER & SERVANT - Termination - Validity - The contract between appellant and 1<sup>st</sup> respondent being that of master & servant - Can be terminated at anytime by giving appropriate notice (H4)

Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

OBJECTIONS - Propriety of - Where preliminary objection would not be appropriate process to object - A motion on notice filed complaining about a few grounds or defects would suffice (H5) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

ORDERS OF COURT - Contempt of - Weight - A person in contempt of a subsisting order - Is not entitled to be granted the court's discretion - To enable him continue with the breach (H17) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

ORDERS OF COURT - Obedience to - Hearing - So long as a party disobeys court order - He would not be granted hearing in any subsequent application - Except where inter alia he goes on appeal (H2) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

ORIGINATING SUMMONS - Affidavits - Conflict in - Resolution - Where there is such conflict - Court should order for pleadings - But where vital documents are annexed - Pleadings are not needed (H13) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

PLEADINGS - Binding nature - Parties are bound by their pleadings - And no party is allowed to set up a case different from his pleadings - Otherwise such new case must be discountenanced (H4) Apena v. Aileru p. 2905

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Parties are bound by their pleadings - And mere averment without proof of pleaded facts - Is not proof of the said facts - If the facts are not admitted in statement of defence (H8) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Parties as well as courts are bound by pleadings - And in so far as pleadings do not contain admissions - Matters alleged must be proved in evidence (H1) University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139

PLEADINGS - Contradictory evidence - Evidence led at trial which is at variance with pleadings - Goes to no issue and must be rejected or discountenanced (H3) *Apena v. Aileru* p. 2905

PLEADINGS - Purpose of - It is to give the other side at the earliest opportunity - The case he is to meet - As there is no better notice of case a party intends to make - Than his pleadings (H2) *Apena v. Aileru* p. 2905

STATUTES - Interpretation - Principle - Where the words of a statute are unambiguous - Courts are enjoined to give them their ordinary grammatical meaning (H9) *Jev v. Iyortyom* p. 2989

SUPREME COURT - Appeals - Extension of time - Application - Filed first in the SC is correct - Since as at 8/10/94 CA no longer had jurisdiction to grant applicant's leave to appeal (H4) *Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV'* p. 3091

SUPREME COURT - Consequential order - Grant - Basis - It will be wrong to order payment of specific money to appellants - In absence of evidence in support - As such order is not given for unproven relief (H7) *Eze v. Governor of Abia State* p. 2935

SUPREME COURT - Extension of time - Grant of - Could be based on a finding that failure to appeal within time - Was caused by pardonable negligence of counsel (H10) *Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV'* p. 3091

SUPREME COURT - Justice - Consequential order - Grant - By virtue of SC Act s. 22 - Consequential relief can be granted by the court in interest of justice - Even where not specifically claimed (H8) *Eze v. Governor of Abia State* p. 2935

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Abia State Local Government (3<sup>rd</sup> Amendment) Law 2004, ss. 4, 5

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 7 Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935, ss. 233(3), 283(3) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091, ss. 241(1), 251(1)(s), 177, 182(1)(j), 318 Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037, ss. 36(1), 87(4)(9) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

Court of Appeal Act, s. 24(2) Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

Court of Appeal Rules 2011, O. 10 r. 1 Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

Criminal Procedure Code, ss. 269, 275 Salu v. State p. 3117

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), ss. 31(5), 87(9) Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037, ss. 87(9), 141 Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 131-134, 167 Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037, ss. 131(1), 133 Apena v. Aileru p. 2905, s. 128(1)(b)(d) Idufueko v. Pfizer Products Ltd. p. 2957

Federal High Court (civil procedure) Rules 2009, O. 3 rr. 6 & 7 Jev v. Iyortyom p. 2989

Limitation Law Cap 64 Laws of Oyo State of Nigeria, s. 6(2) Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

Local Government Law of Abia State of Nigeria No.5 of 1999, ss. 19(3), 25 Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935

Penal Code, ss. 362-363 Kakih v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 3037

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Eze v. Governor of Abia State p. 2935, s. 27(1)(2) Ngere v. Okuruket 'XIV' p. 3091

**2868 INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2014) 7 KLR**

Supreme Court Rules, O. 2 rr. 28(1)(4) and 31, O. 6 r. 2(1) and O. 8 r. 4 Ngere v. Okuruket ‘XIV’ p. 3091, O. 6 r. 5(b), O. 8 r. 4 Adejumo v. Olawaiye p. 2869

University of Ilorin Act Cap. U7 vol. 15 LFN 2004, ss. 6, 7, 14(2)  
University of Ilorin v. Akinola p. 3139